

SERVICE LIST

Patrick J. Coughlin
Azra Z. Mehdi
Luke O. Brooks
MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD HYNES &
LERACH LLP
100 Pine Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 288-4545
(415) 288-4534 (facsimile)

Marvin A. Miller
Jennifer Winter Sprengel
Lori A. Fanning
MILLER FAUCHER & CAFFERTY LLP
30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 3200
Chicago, Illinois 600602
(312) 782-4800
(312) 782-4485 (facsimile)

William S. Lerach
MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD HYNES &
LERACH LLP
401 B Street, Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 231-1058
(619) 231-7423 (facsimile)

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Nathan P. Eimer
Adam B. Deutsch
EIMER STAHL KLEVORN & SOLBERG
224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 110
Chicago, Illinois 60605
(312) 660-7600
(312) 692-1718 (facsimile)

Norman Beck
WINSTON & STRAWN
35 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 558-7422
(312) 558-5700 (facsimile)

Counsel for Defendants

David F. Graham
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD
Bank One Plaza
10 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 853-7000

Michael J. Chepiga
Allison R. Kimmel
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017-3954
Telephone: (212) 455-2000
Facsimile: (212) 455-2502

*Attorneys for Defendants
Goldman Sachs & Co. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.*

FILED

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

JUL 17 2003 10

**MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT**

LAWRENCE E. JAFFE PENSION PLAN,)
On Behalf of Itself and All Others)
Similarly Situated,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
HOUSEHOLD INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)
et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

Lead Case No. 02-C-5893
(Consolidated)

Hon. Ronald A. Guzman
Magistrate Judge Nan R. Nolan

**DOCKETED
JUL 18 2003**

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP
TO FILE REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS**

Defendant Arthur Andersen LLP (“Andersen”) respectfully requests an additional ten days to file its reply memorandum in support of Andersen’s Motion to Dismiss Counts I, III and IV of Plaintiffs’ [Corrected] Amended Consolidated Complaint (“Motion to Dismiss”). In support of its motion Andersen states the following:

1. On June 19, 2003, plaintiffs served their response to Andersen’s Motion to Dismiss by United States mail from San Francisco, California. Andersen received plaintiffs’ responsive papers on or about June 23, 2003.
2. Andersen’s reply memorandum currently is due on July 21, 2003. Andersen requests an additional ten days, through July 31, 2003, in which to file and serve its reply.
3. Andersen has been diligently preparing its reply memorandum since receipt of plaintiffs’ responsive materials. However, primary counsel for Andersen, Lucia Nale, is starting her ninth month of pregnancy and therefore has had to transition this case to other counsel. Due

111

to the time necessarily associated with this transition, Andersen requests an extension of ten days in which to file and serve its reply.

4. While plaintiffs' counsel can make no representation to the Court with respect to Andersen's efforts in preparing its reply brief, in light of the circumstances, plaintiffs will not oppose Andersen's request for a ten day extension of time.

5. This matter has not yet been set for argument and the additional ten days therefore would not impact the Court's existing calendar for this cause.

WHEREFORE, defendant Arthur Andersen LLP respectfully requests that this Court grant its motion for an extension of time and permit Andersen to file its reply memorandum on or before July 31, 2003.

Dated: July 17, 2003

Respectfully Submitted,

ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

By: Susan Charles
One of the Attorneys for Arthur Andersen LLP

Stanley Parzen
Lucia Nale
Susan Charles
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP
190 South LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 782-0600 (Phone)
(312) 701-7711 (Facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendant Arthur Andersen LLP

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

LAWRENCE E. JAFFE PENSION PLAN,)	
On Behalf of Itself and All Others)	Lead Case No. 02-C-5893
Similarly Situated,)	(Consolidated)
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Hon. Ronald A. Guzman
)	Magistrate Judge Nan R. Nolan
HOUSEHOLD INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)	
et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter is before the Court on defendant Arthur Andersen LLP’s (“Andersen”) Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time for Arthur Andersen LLP to File Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss. The Court, being fully advised in the premises, hereby **ORDERS** that Andersen’s motion be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Andersen shall have through July 31, 2003 to file and serve its reply memorandum.

The Honorable Ronald A. Guzman

Distribution:

Counsel of Record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, an attorney, hereby certifies that on July 17, 2003, I caused copies of the foregoing Notice of Filing, Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time for Arthur Andersen LLP to File Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss and Proposed Order to be served upon the persons on the attached service list by depositing same in the United States mail at 190 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60603 before 5:00 p.m. on the aforementioned date.


Susan Crayle