UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

EASTERN DIVISION

| LAWRENCE E. JAFFE PENSION PLAN, On) | Lead Case No. 02-C-5893 |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Behalf of Itself and All Others Similarly) | (Consolidated) |
| Situated,) | |
|) | <u>CLASS ACTION</u> |
| Plaintiff,) | |
|) | Judge Ronald A. Guzman |
| VS. | Magistrate Judge Nan R. Nolan |
| HOUSEHOLD INTERNATIONAL, INC., et | |
| al., | |
| ai., | |
| Defendants. | |
|) | |
| | |

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE REFERENCES TO ANDERSEN IN THE VERDICT FORM GIVEN DEFENDANTS' FAILURE TO ADDUCE EVIDENCE AT TRIAL SHOWING ANDERSEN COMMITTED A PRIMARY VIOLATION OF THE SECURITIES LAWS

Plaintiffs respectfully move the Court to find defendants have failed to satisfy their burden under Fed. R. Civ. P. 50(a) to adduce evidence sufficient to support a reasonable jury's conclusion that Arthur Andersen LLP ("Andersen") is proportionately liable for defendants' securities fraud. Any reference to Andersen should be stricken from the verdict form.

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA") permits a jury to assign some portion of liability to Andersen if and only if the jury determines that defendants did not "knowingly" commit a violation of the securities laws. *See* 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(f)(2)(A), (B); *Newby v. Enron Corp. (In re Enron Corp. Sec.)*, 236 F.R.D. 313, 318 (S.D. Tex. 2006) (knowing violators of 1934 Exchange Act subject to joint and several liability) (citations omitted). By contrast, if the jury were to conclude that one or more of the defendants recklessly violated the securities laws, only then could the jury consider proportionate liability. *Id.*

However, under the PSLRA defendants have the burden of proving that Andersen bears responsibility for their misconduct. Considering this very question, *Enron Corp. Sec.*, 236 F.R.D. at 319, held "the Court will require any party designating a non-party as potentially wholly or partially at fault to bear the burden of proof demonstrating that the *non-party violated the federal securities statutes*." (Emphasis added.) Further, in the context of Rule 10b-5, the Supreme Court ruled in *Stoneridge Inv. Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.*, 128 S. Ct. 761, 773-74 (2008) that liability only attaches to "cover secondary actors who commit *primary violations*." (Emphasis added.)

Defendants have not even identified a primary violation committed by Andersen, much less provided any evidence that Andersen knowingly or recklessly committed such a violation.

Because defendants have failed to advance evidence sufficient to support a reasonable jury's decision that a preponderance of evidence shows Andersen committed a primary violation of the securities laws, defendants should not be permitted to shift blame for their own misconduct to Andersen. Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court find defendants have failed to satisfy Fed. R. Civ.

P. 50(a) as to proportionate liability under the PSLRA, and strike any reference to Andersen from the verdict form.

DATED: April 28, 2009 Respectfully submitted,

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP PATRICK J. COUGHLIN (111070) MICHAEL J. DOWD (135628) SPENCER A. BURKHOLZ (147029) DANIEL S. DROSMAN (200643) MAUREEN E. MUELLER (253431)

/s/ MICHAEL J. DOWD MICHAEL J. DOWD

655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP AZRA Z. MEHDI (90785467) D. CAMERON BAKER (154432) LUKE O. BROOKS (90785469) JASON C. DAVIS (253370) 100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)

Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

MILLER LAW LLC MARVIN A. MILLER LORI A. FANNING 115 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 2910 Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: 312/332-3400 312/676-2676 (fax)

Liaison Counsel

Case: 1:02-cv-05893 Document #: 1589 Filed: 04/28/09 Page 4 of 5 PageID #:44269

LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE G. SOICHER
LAWRENCE G. SOICHER
110 East 59th Street, 25th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: 212/883-8000
212/355-6900 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

 $S:\CasesSD\Household\ Intl\Trial\MOT00059177-Mtn\ to\ Strike.doc$

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MAIL AND BY U.S. MAIL

I, the undersigned, declare:

1. That declarant is and was, at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States

and employed in the City and County of San Diego, State of California, over the age of 18 years, and

not a party to or interested party in the within action; that declarant's business address is 655 W.

Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, California 92101.

2. That on April 28, 2009, declarant served by electronic mail and by U.S. Mail to the

parties the PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE REFERENCES TO ANDERSEN IN THE

VERDICT FORM GIVEN DEFENDANTS' FAILURE TO ADDUCE EVIDENCE AT TRIAL

SHOWING ANDERSEN COMMITTED A PRIMARY VIOLATION OF THE SECURITIES

LAWS

The parties' email addresses are as follows:

| TKavaler@cahill.com | NEimer@EimerStahl.com |
|---------------------|---------------------------|
| PSloane@cahill.com | ADeutsch@EimerStahl.com |
| PFarren@cahill.com | MMiller@MillerLawLLC.com |
| LBest@cahill.com | LFanning@MillerLawLLC.com |
| DOwen@cahill.com | |

and by U.S. Mail to:

Lawrence G. Soicher, Esq. Law Offices of Lawrence G. Soicher 110 East 59th Street, 25th Floor New York, NY 10022 David R. Scott, Esq. Scott & Scott LLC 108 Norwich Avenue Colchester, CT 06415

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 28th day of April, 2009, at Chicago, Illinois.

| /s/ Rika J. Ellis |
|-----------------------|
| RIKA J. ELLIS |