

**United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois**

<b>Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge</b>	Ronald A. Guzman	<b>Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge</b>	Nan R. Nolan
<b>CASE NUMBER</b>	02 C 5893	<b>DATE</b>	8/30/2006
<b>CASE TITLE</b>	Jaffe vs. Household Intl Inc, et al		

**DOCKET ENTRY TEXT**

In an August 10, 2006 Order, the court instructed Defendants to produce for *in camera* review an explanation of the company's decision-making process in entering into the settlement agreement with the Attorneys General. Defendants had objected to producing such information in response to Plaintiffs' Third Set of Interrogatories No. 36, aside from identifying (by reference to Bates number and speaker) the reasons given by Household executives when they announced the settlement, as well as the reasons set forth in the Consent Decrees. On August 25, 2006, Defendants submitted the requested explanation. The court has carefully reviewed that submission and is satisfied that Household settled the case on the advice of counsel, which is protected by the work-product doctrine. Plaintiffs' request for further response to Interrogatory No. 36 (as requested in Doc. 551) is denied.

Notices mailed by Judicial staff.

Courtroom Deputy Initials:	LXS
-------------------------------	-----