UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

EASTERN DIVISION

LAWRENCE E. JAFFE PENSION PLAN, On) Behalf of Itself and All Others Similarly	Lead Case No. 02-C-5893 (Consolidated)
Situated,)	CLASS ACTION
Plaintiff,) vs.	Judge Ronald A. Guzman Magistrate Judge Nan R. Nolan
HOUSEHOLD INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	
)	

THE CLASS' MOTION TO FILE INSTANTER BRIEF IN EXCESS OF TEN PAGES RE
MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER RESPONSES TO THE CLASS' QUESTIONS FOR
PER EKHOLDT CONCERNING EXHIBIT 13 AND THE PRODUCTION OF
DOCUMENTS UNDERLYING WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING REPORTS

Now come the Lead Plaintiffs and the Class respectfully request that this Court allow the Class to file its Reply Brief in Support of Motion to Compel Further Responses to the Class' Questions for Per Ekholdt Concerning Exhibit 13 and the Production of Documents Underlying Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering Reports in excess of ten pages. In support thereof, Lead Plaintiffs and the Class state as follows:

- 1. The Court imposed a ten page limit on motions on October 12, 2006.
- 2. On November 7, 2006 Defendants were granted leave to file their 17-page response brief. [Docket No. 757 & Docket No. 763.]
- 3. The subject of the Class' motion and the reply in support of same is the improper application of privilege over documents and testimony relating to Wilmer Cutler & Pickering's investigation into Household International, Inc.'s restructuring practices during the Class Period.
- 4. The procedural, legal and factual issues raised by the Class' motion and Defendants' response are complex and require detailed analysis. Moreover, defendants present new evidence in their opposition brief, which was not previously produced to the Class. As a result, the Class needs to thoroughly and properly analyze the newly introduced evidence and to engage in legal analysis concerning this evidence. In order to properly address this complex subject and the numerous factual and legal issues relating to these issues, a memorandum in excess of 10 pages but not more than 16 pages is necessary.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Class respectfully requests that the Court grant them leave to file Reply Brief in Support of Its Motion to Compel Further Responses to the Class' Questions for Per Ekholdt Concerning Exhibit 13 and the Production of Documents Underlying Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering Reports in excess of ten pages.

DATED: November 17, 2006

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP PATRICK J. COUGHLIN (90785466) AZRA Z. MEHDI (90785467) D. CAMERON BAKER (154452) MONIQUE C. WINKLER (90786006) LUKE O. BROOKS (90785469) JASON C. DAVIS (4165197) BING Z. RYAN (228641)

s/ Luke O. Brooks LUKE O. BROOKS

100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP WILLIAM S. LERACH 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

MILLER FAUCHER AND CAFFERTY LLP MARVIN A. MILLER 30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: 312/782-4880 312/782-4485 (fax)

Liaison Counsel

LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE G. SOICHER
LAWRENCE G. SOICHER
110 East 59th Street, 25th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: 212/883-8000
212/355-6900 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

 $T:\ \ CasesSF\ \ \ Household\ \ Intl\ \ \ MOT00036875.doc$

Case: 1:02-cv-05893 Document #: 769 Filed: 11/17/06 Page 5 of 5 PageID #:17095

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL AND BY U.S. MAIL

I, the undersigned, declare:

1. That declarant is and was, at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States

and employed in the City and County of San Francisco, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to

or interested party in the within action; that declarant's business address is 100 Pine Street,

Suite 2600, San Francisco, California 94111.

2. That on November 17, 2006, declarant served by electronic mail and by U.S. Mail to

the parties the: THE CLASS' MOTION TO FILE INSTANTER BRIEF IN EXCESS OF TEN

PAGES RE MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER RESPONSES TO THE CLASS'

OUESTIONS FOR PER EKHOLDT CONCERNING EXHIBIT 13 AND THE

PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS UNDERLYING WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING

REPORTS. The parties' email addresses are as follows:

TKavaler@cahill.com

PSloane@cahill.com

PFarren@cahill.com

LBest@cahill.com

DOwen@cahill.com

NEimer@EimerStahl.com

ADeutsch@EimerStahl.com

MMiller@millerfaucher.com

LFanning@millerfaucher.com

and by U.S. Mail to:

Lawrence G. Soicher, Esq. Law Offices of Lawrence G. Soicher 110 East 59th Street, 25th Floor

New York, NY 10022

David R. Scott, Esq. Scott & Scott LLC 108 Norwich Avenue Colchester, CT 06415

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 17th day of November, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

s/ Marcy Medeiros
MARCY MEDEIROS