Case: 1:02-cv-05893 Document #: 876 Filed: 01/03/07 Page 1 of 7 PageID #:19227

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

LAWRENCE E. JAFFE PENSION PLAN, ON BEHALF OF ITSELF AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,  Plaintiff,	Lead Case No. 02-C-5893 (Consolidated) CLASS ACTION Judge Ronald A. Guzman
- against -	Magistrate Judge Nan R. Nolan
HOUSEHOLD INTERNATIONAL, INC., ET. AL.,	
Defendants.	, ) )

AFFIDAVIT OF JANET A. BEER IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF HOUSEHOLD DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SANCTIONS INCLUDING A RECOMMENDATION OF DISMISSAL FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND AND TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO DEFENDANTS' COURT AUTHORIZED SUPPLEMENT TO DEFENDANTS' SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES

STATE OF NEW YORK ) : ss.: COUNTY OF NEW YORK )

JANET A. BEER, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am a member of the bar of the State of New York, admitted to this Court *pro hac vice* in connection with the above caption matter, and am associated with the firm Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP, co-counsel for defendants Household International, Inc., Household Finance Corporation, William F. Aldinger, David A. Schoenholz, Gary Gilmer, and J.A. Vozar in this action. I make this affidavit to place before the Court certain documents in further support of Household Defendants' Motion for Sanctions Including a Recommendation of Dismissal for Failure to Respond and to Compel Responses to Defendants' Court Authorized Supplement to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories.

2. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 1</u> is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the August 22, 2006 Hearing on Motions Before the Honorable Nan R. Nolan, Magistrate Judge, excerpted.

Janet A. Beer

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of January, 2007.

MARGUERITE SALAMONE

Notary Public, State of New York

No. 01SA4814416 Qualified in New York County

Commission Expires October 5, 2010

Case: 1:02-cv-05893 Document #: 876 Filed: 01/03/07 Page 3 of 7 PageID #:19229

## **EXHIBIT 1**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS (Chicago)

LAWRENCE E. JAFFE, etc., et al., Plaintiffs, v. Docket No. 02-CV-5893 HOUSEHOLD INTERNATIONAL, INC.,) et al., Chicago, Illinois August 22, 2006 Defendants.

> HEARING ON MOTIONS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE NAN R. NOLAN

## APPEARANCES:

For the Class:

CAMERON BAKER

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER

RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP 100 Pine Street, #2600 San Francisco, CA 94111

LORI FANNING

MILLER, FAUCHER & CAFFERTY LLP

30 North LaSalle Street

Suite 3200

Chicago, IL 60602

For Defendants: PATRICIA FARREN

CAHILL, GORDON & REINDEL

80 Pine Street

New York, NY 10005

2

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

For the Household

Defendants:

ADAM B. DEUTSCH

EIMER, STAHL, KLEVORN & SOLBERG LLP

224 South Michigan Avenue

Suite 1100

Chicago, IL 60604

TRANSCRIBER'S NOTE: One attorney was standing too far from the microphone for some of her statements to be audible. Attorneys must speak directly into the microphones.

PLEASE SUPPLY CORRECT VOICE IDENTIFICATION.

Transcribed by:

Riki Schatell

6033 North Sheridan Road, 28-K

Chicago, Illinois 60660

773/728-7281

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

setting one. The response is due September 1st. The reply is 1 due September 8th. We'll take a look at that, okay? 2 3 MS. FARREN: Your Honor, may I ask one simple 4 question, please? 5 THE COURT: Um-hum. 6 MS. FARREN: Earlier when you were talking about Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, you said that you're 7 8 standing by your observations in the order about the number of 9 interrogatories except adding that no party may propound new 10 interrogatories without permission. I wanted to know, Judge, 11 if that impacts that part of your ruling -- If you remember, it 12 was right at the end and you were talking about five interroga-13 tories that we thought said A, and they interpreted 14 differently? And you gave us permission, Judge, to reframe those five in a way -- to -- in the way we had intended. 1.5 16 Does that permission still stand, your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Yes. It does. 18 MS. FARREN: Thank you. We appreciate all your time, 19 your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. BAKER: Thank you, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: I'll see you in September. 23 (Hearing adjourned.)

I, RIKI SCHATELL, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Riki Schatell

August 24, 2006 Date